

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,077	06/09/2005	Young-Hoan Jun	0630-2336PUS1	7190
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 06/10/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			HAMO, PATRICK	
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3746		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,077	JUN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PATRICK HAMO	3746		

	PATRICK HAMO	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate statesion fee have been filled is the date for proposes of determining the period of destreation and the corresponding amount of the fee. The proposes of determining the period of destreation and the corresponding amount of the fee. The state of the contract of the c							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</li> </ol>							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise see new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)</li> <li>would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling</li> </ul>							
non-allowable claim(s).  To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
<ol> <li>☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Charles G Freay/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3746					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the cited reference falls to disclose the claimed limitations is not found persuasive. The claims presented herein were presented in substantially the same form previously and rejected in view of the reference to Oh et al. The applicant alleges that the reference to Oh et al. falls to disclose several elements of the independent claims, but the examiner maintains the interpretation of Oh as presented in the prior Office action, namely that Oh discloses the limitations substantially as claimed. A point by point response to the Applicant's after final remarks would read substantially like the final rejection, as the Applicant alleges that the reference to Oh fails to teach the limitations, while the examiner maintains that it does for the same reasons as set forth there.